✓ Preserve

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

Muz 236-31-1-2

1933.
(Muzrai)

File No. 199-33

Serial Nos. 1-3

Later References

121-34-1-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 3-4+

Part B for February 34.

SUBJECT.

Cancellation ~~and~~ of inam tenure of and the disposal of lands in S. No 174 garden belonging to Sri Lakshminarayanadevaru temple at Belagadu.

To the Muz Comr
" Comptroller

No 1155-8 d 24-2-34

Sanctioning the recommendation

M C. 13]

815

No. 840/33-34
Encl / File

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore 7/8 193

Mz 199 · 33 / ·

FROM

~~S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,~~
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

TO

Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.
[illegible] *Department.*

34

1911

SIR,

×

[illegible] referring to G.O. [illegible] 67 [illegible] sanctioning the lease for [illegible] years [illegible] (garden) belonging to Sri [illegible] village, Tirthahalli Taluk, [illegible] I have the honour to [illegible] Deputy Commissioner's letter [illegible] ber 1933 requesting sanction to the [illegible] of the lands in question [illegible]

2. It is reported that the [illegible] mutchalika [illegible] terms of the Government [illegible] same may be got from [illegible] with the lands but that the [illegible] wanted the lands on permanent lease [illegible] favourable to the institution [illegible] people also refused to [illegible] lands, [illegible] lease out the lands for [illegible] lands are stated to be lying [illegible] with jungle trees [illegible] bring them under cultivation. The Deputy [illegible]

In para 2 of the P.W.D. the lands are stated to have been lying fallow for a long time past, but ~~[illegible]~~ in the P.W.D.'s order 23.9.32 ^x' it is directed that the crop on the Inam land may be attached.

V.M.Adinarayanan

2. It is not known what the amt. realisations would be if the temple lands are sold. If the proposals are accepted the temple will be losing the Inam lands once for all.

x Petn of 23/2/30

3. It is at the instance of Thanjappa the Mus. Officer took steps to recommend the case & orders in G.O. No 1707-8 of 16/5/32 were passed. Before submitting proposals, it is noted, that if some earnest money had been prescribed by the local officers, Hanjappa would not have accepted the terms for fear of losing the earnest amount. xx

4) A To prevent recurrence of the kind it is a matter for consideration if the M.C. may be asked to circularise that the local officers should insist on some earnest amount from the bidders before they accept the terms of the bidders for sanction & make a recommendation.

5. The present proposal of cancelling the Inam tenure & selling the lands in auction

Submitted for orders —

G.S.
27/1

F.H. Seeny)

A – A notification seems necessary before recommendations are made.

The M.C.'s recommendation may be approved in the case explained.

5/2/34

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | Muz File No. 199 of 1934

Serial No.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
10 FEB. 1934
No. 5006

2nd Mr.)

R.
8/2
8/2

/R
11/2

13/2

Dft. subd for approval. Copy of the ~~office note after~~ office order with another draft order re Muchalikas being taken ~~as at A above~~ vide para 6 above

GW 20/2

TYPING SECTION
12 FEB 1934

21/2/34

22/2

2 G.O. No 1155—8 of 24-2-34 Sanctioning the recommendation of the Muzrai Commissioner in Sl. 1

3 No 1159 of 24-2-34 to the Muzrai Commissioner issuing instructions to obtain muchalikas in proper form from intending lessees of the Inam lands belonging to Muzrai Institutions

B
B/20

Note—Contn. Sheet.

File No. of 193 .

General and Reve
Secretariat

Letter No.Muz.C.259[illegible]/32-33 dated 14-12-3[illegible] from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to the correspondence ending with your [illegible] 32 dated 28th May 32 forwarding a copy of the G.O. No.1707-0[illegible]/[illegible] dated 16th May 1932 sanctioning with certain modifications the conditions of lease of the inam lands, S.Nos. 1 and 4 endowed to the Sri [illegible] temple at Pelagadu village, Tirthahalli Taluk, for a period of [illegible] years, I have the honor to state as follows:-

In accordance with your memo under reference, the Amildar [illegible] issued a notice to Manjappa to appear before him and execute [illegible] lease, but Manjappa remarked on the notice that he has entrusted the lands [illegible] Venkatappa and that ~~axi~~ a mutchalika might be got executed by [illegible] Venkatappa does not want the lands for [illegible]0 years but wants them [illegible]

- (i) First 30 years free of assessment.
- (ii) Second 30 years payment of Kanadayam.
- (iii) Afterwards nigate equal to Kanadayam payable to Government.

[illegible] nominal [illegible], [illegible] is not willing to [illegible] building and [illegible] in the temple.

As Venkatappa's offer was not favourable to the institution the [illegible] vahiwatdar was questioned if he would take up the management of the temple and its lands. He has pleaded his inability as he is employed as [illegible] in the Middle School at Pela[illegible] in the Honnali Taluk.

The Sub Division Officer was requested to make enquiries [illegible] the Huzur Jamabandy to secure a suitable lessee for the lands. [illegible] he has reported that the lands are lying banjar for many years, [illegible] overgrown with jungle trees involving heavy expenditure to bring them under cultivation, that there are none to take them up for cultivation and [illegible] therefore their inam tenure might be cancelled and the lands [illegible] to be disposed of on darkhast.

In the above circumstances, I request that the inam tenure of the land may be cancelled and the lands ordered to be disposed of as sirkar lands.

The connected records accompany.

"COPY"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

2813

SUBJECT

Section

File No. 199-33

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue 24/2/1934

Signed by Mr B. Muddukrishnappa

Read— G.O. No 1707-8 Mus 236-31-2 d/ 16.5.32 Sanctioning the lease for 30 yrs of the Inam lands [illegible] the temple of Gods belonging to Sri Lakshminarayanadevaru at Belagodu Village Tirthahalli ~~Order thereon.—No~~ Tk to one Manjappa on subject to the conditions set forth therein.

2) Letter No 850/33-34 d/ 18.1.34 from the D.C. Stating that ~~[illegible]~~ Manjappa the lessee refd to in the order quoted as well who was called upon to ~~execute~~ a muchalika in accordance with the terms of the order read above ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible]

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, kannada part ?

from his brother Venkatappa
~~Who has been entrusted with~~
the ~~lands but that the latter~~
~~refused to accept~~ the terms,
~~& wanted the lands on permanent~~
~~basis lease subject to terms~~
~~not favourable to the Institution~~,
~~that as the original Vahivatdar~~
~~of the Instn. also refused~~
~~to take them up~~, attempts
were made to lease out
the lands for 30 yrs. but
~~without~~ no bids ~~being~~ were offered
~~& the lands were~~ lying banjar
for a long time overgrown
with jungle trees, ~~& would~~
involving heavy expenditure
to bring them under cultivation,
~~that in these circumstances~~
~~the D.C. has recommended~~
~~for the cancellation of the~~
and recommending, for reasons stated, sanction to the proposal of the DC Shimoga to cancel the
Inam tenure of the lands
& to their disposal in public
auction ~~and requesting~~
~~Sanction to the proposal~~

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. App F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

~~of the W.C., as there is practically~~
~~no revenue to the temple from~~
~~the~~ said lands, the proceeds
being credited to ~~the~~ a
Govt Savings Bank account
& the interest utilised for
the conduct of services in
the temple -

Order no 1155-8 [illegible]
recommendation
The ~~proposal~~ approved
of the M.C.

Ind

21/2

~~For~~ H Sec

To

The M.C. with records
The Comr —
The R.C
The Supdt Rev Survey
~~& Settlement~~
Copy to Rev Secr

G.V
20/2

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 23rd Febry. 1934
24th

No. 1159
Muz 199-33-3

From
The Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

To
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of Government Order No. 1155-8/Muz 199 33 2 dated 24-2-34 sanctioning your proposal to cancel the Inam tenure of the lands belonging to Sri Lakshminarayanadevaru temple at Belagadu, Thirthahalli Taluk, I am directed to observe that before sending up recommendations to Government for the lease of lands on the oral agreement of individuals to take them up it seems necessary that local officers should be instructed to take mutchalikas in proper form from such individuals in token of their willingness to take up the lands. If such a procedure had been adopted in respect of the lands mentioned in the Government Order referred to above Manjappa could not have gone back on his words, as he did.

I am therefore to request that, in respect of such cases local officers may in future at least be instructed to adopt the procedure suggested above.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for G.S. Financial Secretary to Government.

ACH. 3.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT ....

....

....

Section Mug

File No. 199-33

Serial No. 3

Diary No. of letter replied to ....

No. 1159

To

The D C

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 24/2/34

Signed by Mr B. Muddukrishnappa

Sir,

In Continuation of G.O. No. 1155-8 / Mug 199-33-2 d 24/2/34 sanctioning your proposal to cancel the inam tenure of the lands belonging to Sri Lakshminarayana-devaru temple at Belagalu, Mutta-halli Tk., I am directed to observe that before sending up ~~proposals~~ recommendation ~~of~~ to Govt. for the lease of lands on the oral agreement of individual to take them up it ~~would be~~ seems necessary ~~better if~~ that local officers, ~~are~~ should be instructed

List of enclosures ....

Copied by ....

Examined by ....